

ON n -WIDTHS AND OPTIMAL RECOVERY IN M^r

Charles A. Micchelli and Allan Pinkus

Let $BV[0,1]$ denote the set of all functions of bounded variation on $[0,1]$. For any $\lambda \in BV[0,1]$, we set $\|\lambda\| =$ total variation of λ , and define

$$M^r = M^r[0,1] = \left\{ f: f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i x^i + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (x-t)_+^{r-1} d\lambda(t), \right. \\ \left. \lambda \in BV[0,1] \right\}.$$

In this paper, the n -width both in the sense of Kolmogorov and Gel'fand, for

$$B_r = \{ f: f \in M^r, \|\lambda\| \leq 1 \}$$

is found. In addition, we solve the related problem of optimal recovery of a function $f \in B_r$.

1 n -Widths

Let $d_n(B_r; L^1[0,1]) = d_n(B_r)$ denote the n -width in the sense of Kolmogorov of B_r in $L^1[0,1]$, given explicitly by

$$d_n(B_r) = \inf_{X_n} \sup_{f \in B_r} \inf_{g \in X_n} \|f - g\|_1,$$

where the infimum is taken over all n -dimensional linear subspaces X_n of $L^1[0,1]$ and $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt$. The n -width in the sense of Gel'fand for B_r in $C[0,1]$ is given as

$$d^n(B_r) = d^n(B_r; C[0,1]) = \inf_{L_n} \sup_{f \in B_r \cap L_n} \|f\|_1,$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces L_n of $C[0,1]$

of codimension n . An extremal subspace for either $d_n(B_r)$ or $d^n(B_r)$ is any subspace for which the respective infimum is attained.

A perfect spline P of degree r with s knots at $x_1, \dots, x_s, 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_s < x_{s+1} = 1$ is any function expressible as

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i x^i + c \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} (x-t)_+^{r-1} dt.$$

The following result is essentially contained in Karlin [1].

THEOREM 1. For $n \geq r$, there exists a perfect spline $Q_{n,r} = Q$, unique up to multiplication by -1 , of degree r with n knots such that $\|Q^{(r)}\|_\infty = 1$ which satisfies the boundary conditions $Q^{(i)}(0) = Q^{(i)}(1) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, and equioscillates at $n-r+1$ points in $(0,1)$, i.e., $Q(\eta_i) = (-1)^i \|Q\|_\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-r+1, 0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_{n-r+1} < 1, \sigma = +1$ or -1 , fixed.

Utilizing Theorem 1, we obtain the following result

THEOREM 2. For $r \geq 2$,

- (1) $d_n(B_r) = d^n(B_r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & n < r \\ \|Q_{n,r}\|_\infty, & n \geq r \end{cases}$
- (2) $Q_{n,r}$ has exactly $n-r$ simple zeros $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{n-r}$ in $(0,1)$, and the linear space spanned by the functions $\{1, x, \dots, x^{r-1}, (x-\zeta_1)_+^{r-1}, \dots, (x-\zeta_{n-r})_+^{r-1}\}$ is an extremal subspace for $d_n(B_r)$.
- (3) $L_n^* = \{g: g \in C[0,1], g(\zeta_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are the n knots of $Q_{n,r}$ is an extremal subspace for $d^n(B_r)$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based upon duality and analysis similar to that found in [2].

2 Optimal Recovery

Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < 1 = x_{n+1}$, be given and denote the vector $(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n))$ by \underline{f} . The problem of optimal recovery is one of determining a rule for best recovering $f \in \mathcal{B}_r$, $n \geq r \geq 2$, based on the information \underline{f} (for the L^∞ analogue of this problem see [3]).

Any transformation R from $\{\underline{f}: f \in \mathcal{B}_r\}$ into $L^1[0,1]$ determines a recovery scheme $Sf = R\underline{f}$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_r$. The error for recovery based on S is defined as

$$\|I - S\|_1 = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_r} \|f - Sf\|_1,$$

and

$$E(\underline{x}) = \inf\{\|I - S\|_1 : S \text{ a recovery scheme}\}$$

is the minimum error in recovering $f \in \mathcal{B}_r$ from the information \underline{f} . S^* is called an optimal recovery scheme provided that $\|I - S^*\|_1 = E(\underline{x})$.

THEOREM 3. For every $n \geq r \geq 2$, and each \underline{x} as above, there exists a function

$$P_{\underline{x}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j}{(r-1)!} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} (x-t)_+^{r-1} dt + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (x-x_j)_+^{r-1}$$

which satisfies the boundary conditions $P_{\underline{x}}^{(i)}(1) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, and equioscillates $n-r+1$ times on $(0,1)$.

$P_{\underline{x}}$ has $n-r$ simple zeros $\{\zeta_i(\underline{x})\}_{i=1}^{n-r}$, $0 < \zeta_1(\underline{x}) < \dots < \zeta_{n-r}(\underline{x}) < 1$, and $x_i < \zeta_i(\underline{x}) < x_{i+r}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-r$.

Based on Theorem 3, we define a recovery scheme S^* by interpolating the function f at the values $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by linear combinations of $1, x, \dots, x^{r-1}, (x - \zeta_1(\underline{x}))_+^{r-1}, \dots,$

$(x - \zeta_{n-r}(\underline{x}))_+^{r-1}$, i.e., $(S^*f)(x_i) = f(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.
 Since $x_i < \zeta_i(\underline{x}) < x_{i+r}$, $i = 1, \dots, n - r$, such a recovery
 scheme is well-defined.

We prove the following theorem by using Theorems 1-3,
 and analysis paralleling that used in the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. S^* is an optimal recovery scheme for B_r , and
 $E(\underline{x}) = \|P_{\underline{x}}\|_{\infty}$. Furthermore, if $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ are the
knots of $Q_{n,r}$, then $\min_{\underline{x}} E(\underline{x}) = E(\underline{\xi}) = \|Q_{n,r}\|_{\infty} = \min_{\underline{x}} \|P_{\underline{x}}\|_{\infty}$.

Full details and extensions of all the above results will
 appear elsewhere.

References

- 1 Karlin, S., Oscillatory Perfect Splines and Related Ex-
 tremal Problems, to appear in Studies in Spline Functions
and Approximation Theory, ed. S. Karlin, C. A. Micchelli,
 A. Pinkus, I. J. Schoenberg, Academic Press.
- 2 Micchelli, C. A., and A. Pinkus, On n -Widths in L^{∞} ,
 IBM Research Report 5478 (1975).
- 3 Micchelli, C. A., T. J. Rivlin, and S. Winograd, The
 Optimal Recovery of Smooth Functions, to appear in
 Numer. Math.

Charles A. Micchelli
 IBM T. J. Watson Res. Ctr.
 Math. Sc. Dept.
 Yorktown Hts., N.Y. 10598

Allan Pinkus*
 Mathematics Research Center
 University of Wisconsin
 Madison, Wis. 53705

*Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No.
 DAAG29-75-C-0024 and the National Science Foundation under
 Grant No. MPS72-00381A01.