



NORTH-HOLLAND

**Functions That Preserve Families of Positive
Semidefinite Matrices**

Carl H. FitzGerald

*Department of Mathematics
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, California 92093*

Charles A. Micchelli

*Department of Mathematical Sciences
IBM T. J. Watson Research Center
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598*

and

Allan Pinkus

*Department of Mathematics
Technion
Haifa, Israel*

Submitted by Hans Schneider

ABSTRACT

We study various notions of multivariate functions which map families of positive semidefinite matrices or of conditionally positive semidefinite matrices into matrices of the same type.

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 221:83–102 (1995)

© Elsevier Science Inc., 1995
655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010

0024-3795/95/\$9.50
SSDI 0024-3795(93)00232-O

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we study the following problem. For $k = 1, \dots, m$, let $A_k = (a_{ij}^k)_{i,j=1}^n$ be distinct $n \times n$ real symmetric (Hermitian) positive semidefinite matrices. Characterize the multivariate real-valued functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (complex-valued functions $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$) such that the matrix

$$(f(A_1, \dots, A_m))_{ij} := f(a_{ij}^1, \dots, a_{ij}^m), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

is also always positive semidefinite (Hermitian positive semidefinite). In the real case for $m = 1$, a solution to this problem was first given by Schoenberg [14], by totally different methods. Similar questions in the real case for $m = 1$ were studied in Christensen and Ressel [1], FitzGerald and Horn [4], and Rudin [11]. The complex case for $m = 1$ was considered by Hertz [5]. Variations of this problem will also be studied here. The motivation for the study of the problem came from certain problems in multivariate interpolation; see Micchelli [8] and Powell [9].

2. THE REAL CASE

We denote by $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ the class of all real $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrices, and by $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ the class of all real-valued functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $n, f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ whenever $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *real entire* if it is real on \mathbb{R}^m and is the restriction to \mathbb{R}^m of an entire function on \mathbb{C}^m . We also use \mathbb{Z}_+^m and \mathbb{R}_+^m for all vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively, with nonnegative coordinates. Finally, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, we use the standard notation $\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_m^{\alpha_m}$.

The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if and only if f is real entire of the form*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (2.1)$$

where $c_\alpha \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$.

We remark that for $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ the positive semidefinite kernel $K(x, y) = f((x, y))$, where x, y are elements in some Hilbert space H , arises as the reproducing kernel of a generalized weighted Fock space which is useful for

certain estimation and prediction problems of nonlinear system and signal analysis (de Figueiredo [2]). This paper gives an explicit description of the associated Hilbert space for which K is a reproducing kernel. The case where $H = L^2[0, 1]$ and $f(x) = e^x, x \in \mathbb{R}$, is especially important.

It is convenient to divide the proof of the above theorem (especially the necessity) into a series of facts. Firstly, we prove the sufficiency of (2.1), which is elementary. It is based on the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that $f, g \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m, h \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, and $a, b \geq 0$. Then*

- (i) $af + bg \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$;
- (ii) $fg \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$;
- (iii) $h \circ f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, where $(h \circ f)(\mathbf{x}) := h(f(\mathbf{x})), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$;
- (iv) all affine functions nonnegative on \mathbb{R}_+^m are in $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$;
- (v) $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ is closed under pointwise convergence.

Proof. (i) is a consequence of the cone property of $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, while (v) is a consequence of its closure. (ii) follows from the Schur-product theorem. Namely, if $A, B \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n, A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij})$, then the Schur product of A and B given by $(a_{ij}b_{ij})$ is in $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ (see e.g. Horn and Johnson [6, p. 458]). Both (iii) and (iv) hold essentially by definition. ■

As an immediate consequence, we have:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real entire of the form (2.1), with $c_{\alpha} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, then $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.*

To prove the necessity portion of Theorem 2.1, we start with

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Assume that $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m \setminus \{0\}$. Then:*

- (i) $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$, and $f(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$.
- (ii) f is nondecreasing (elementwise) on \mathbb{R}_+^m .
- (iii) Every $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m), \eta_k \in \{-1, 1\}, k = 1, \dots, m$, determines a linear map: $\eta(\mathbf{x}) := \eta\mathbf{x} := (\eta_1x_1, \dots, \eta_mx_m), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. For all such η we have $f \pm f \circ \eta \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.
- (iv) For all $\mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{0}$ set $(E_{\mathbf{c}}f)(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $E_{\mathbf{c}}f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.
- (v) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Proof. (i): If $A \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, then $a_{ii} \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$. From this fact we immediately obtain that $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (i.e., $x_k \geq 0, k = 1, \dots, m$).

For the second claim of (i) we assume to the contrary that $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Since $f \neq 0$, there exists a $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for which $f(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0$. Set

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k & y_k \\ y_k & y_k^2/x_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since $A_k \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{x}) & f(\mathbf{y}) \\ f(\mathbf{y}) & f(\mathbf{y}^2/\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2.$$

Therefore, it follows that $0 = f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y}^2/\mathbf{x}) \geq f^2(\mathbf{y}) > 0$, which is a contradiction.

(ii): Let $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (i.e., $x_k \geq y_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, m$). Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_k & y_k \\ y_k & x_k \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2$$

for each k , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{x}) & f(\mathbf{y}) \\ f(\mathbf{y}) & f(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2$$

and therefore $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq f(\mathbf{y})$.

(iii): For $\eta_k \in \{-1, 1\}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_k \\ \eta_k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2.$$

Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_k & \eta_k A_k \\ \eta_k A_k & A_k \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}.$$

for each $k = 1, \dots, m$, and consequently we conclude that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} f(A_1, \dots, A_m) & f(\eta_1 A_1, \dots, \eta_m A_m) \\ f(\eta_1 A_1, \dots, \eta_m A_m) & f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}.$$

Set

$$Q_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ \pm I & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n},$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and observe that

$$D_{\pm} = Q_{\pm}^T C Q_{\pm} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}.$$

The principal submatrix of D_{\pm} determined by its first n rows and n columns is a matrix in $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. This submatrix is given by

$$2[f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \pm f(\eta_1 A_1, \dots, \eta_m A_m)].$$

Thus, we have shown that whenever $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, then

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \pm f(\eta_1 A_1, \dots, \eta_m A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n,$$

which simply means that $f \pm f \circ \eta \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.

(iv): Let J denote the $n \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are one. Then $J \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, and for any $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ and $\mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{0}$, $A_k + c_k J \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ for each $k = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore

$$f(A_1 + c_1 J, \dots, A_m + c_m J) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n.$$

Thus $E_{\mathbf{c}} f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ for any $\mathbf{c} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

(v): Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Set

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k & \sqrt{x_k y_k} \\ \sqrt{x_k y_k} & y_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Each $A_k \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2$, and therefore, setting $\mathbf{xy} = (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_m y_m)$, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{x}) & f((\mathbf{xy})^{1/2}) \\ f((\mathbf{xy})^{1/2}) & f(\mathbf{y}) \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^2,$$

which implies that

$$f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f^2((\mathbf{xy})^{1/2}) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{0}$. Since $f(\mathbf{w}) > 0$ for $\mathbf{w} > \mathbf{0}$ [by (i)], we can define

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \ln f(e^{\mathbf{x}}),$$

where $e^{\mathbf{x}} = (e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_m})$ for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. From (2.2), we obtain

$$\frac{g(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{y})}{2} \geq g\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}}{2}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. That is, g is *midconvex* on \mathbb{R}^m . From (ii), f is non-decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+^m . Thus g is nondecreasing (elementwise) and midconvex on \mathbb{R}^m . Any such function (see e.g. Roberts and Varberg [10]) is necessarily convex and hence continuous on the interior of its domain of definition. Thus $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$, which implies that $f \in C(\text{int } \mathbb{R}_+^m)$. In summary, we have observed that if $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, then $f \in C(\text{int } \mathbb{R}_+^m)$. Applying (iii) and (iv), it now follows that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$. ■

We will first prove the result under the further assumption that f is in fact in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, we use the notation

$$(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_m^{\alpha_m}} f(x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Assume $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Then*

$$(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. Let $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = r$. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \geq \mathbf{0}$ and for any set of real numbers $\{y_{ik} : i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\}$, the matrices $A_k(\varepsilon) = (a_{ij}^k(\varepsilon))$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, defined by

$$a_{ij}^k(\varepsilon) = x_k + \varepsilon y_{ik} y_{jk}$$

are in $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Thus $f(A_1(\varepsilon), \dots, A_m(\varepsilon)) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, and for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i f(a_{ij}^1(\varepsilon), \dots, a_{ij}^m(\varepsilon)) w_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i f(x_1 + \varepsilon y_{i1} y_{j1}, \dots, x_m + \varepsilon y_{im} y_{jm}) w_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we have from Taylor's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &f(x_1 + \varepsilon y_{i1} y_{j1}, \dots, x_m + \varepsilon y_{im} y_{jm}) \\ &= f(x_1, \dots, x_m) + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon^r}{r!} \sum_{|\beta|=r} \binom{r}{\beta} (y_{i1} y_{j1})^{\beta_1} \dots (y_{im} y_{jm})^{\beta_m} \\ &\quad \times D^\beta f(x_1, \dots, x_m) + O(\varepsilon^{r+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For n sufficiently large, we can choose the $\{y_{ik} : i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{w_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_{i1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots y_{im}^{\gamma_m} = 0$$

for all $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ satisfying $|\gamma| \leq r$, except that for $\gamma = \alpha$ we require

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_{i1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_{im}^{\alpha_m} \neq 0.$$

(See Remark 2.1 below for a construction of such $\{y_{ik}\}$ and $\{w_i\}$.) Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i f(x_1 + \varepsilon y_{i1} y_{j1}, \dots, x_m + \varepsilon y_{im} y_{jm}) w_j \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^r}{r!} \binom{r}{\alpha} D^\alpha f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_{i1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_{im}^{\alpha_m} \right)^2 + O(\varepsilon^{r+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $D^\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$. ■

REMARK 2.1. There are numerous methods of constructing the $\{y_{ik} : i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{w_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ as above. Here is one such way for n sufficiently large. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be any n distinct positive numbers. Choose any m positive numbers c_1, \dots, c_m such that the values $(\beta, \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k c_k$ are distinct for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ satisfying $|\beta| \leq r$. Now, set

$$y_{ik} = a_i^{c_k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Recall that for every positive integer s the functions x^{σ_j} , $j = 1, \dots, s$, constitute a T -system on $(0, \infty)$ for any distinct $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$. That is, the functions x^{σ_j} , $j = 1, \dots, s$, are linearly independent on every s distinct points in $(0, \infty)$. Thus it follows that the vectors

$$\{(y_{i1}^{\beta_1} \cdots y_{im}^{\beta_m}, \dots, y_{n1}^{\beta_1} \cdots y_{nm}^{\beta_m}) : |\beta| \leq r\} = \{(a_1^{(\beta, \mathbf{c})}, \dots, a_n^{(\beta, \mathbf{c})}) : |\beta| \leq r\}$$

are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^n . For

$$n \geq \binom{r+m}{m}$$

this linear independence allows us to construct the requisite $\{w_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$.

A function $f \in C^\infty[a, b)$ is said to be *absolutely monotone* if $f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b)$ and each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This class of functions was introduced by Bernstein (see Widder [15, Chapter IV] for an excellent exposition). If f is absolutely monotone on $[a, b)$, then it is known that f is real analytic thereon. That is,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k$$

for all $x \in [a, b)$, and thus f is the restriction to $[a, b)$ of a function analytic in $\{z : |z-a| < b-a\}$.

This result has been extended to domains in \mathbb{R}^2 (and the case \mathbb{R}^m follows analogously) by Schoenberg [13]. This leads us to

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then f is real entire and*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

where $c_\alpha = (D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{0})/\alpha! \geq 0$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$.

Proof. From Schoenberg [13, Theorem 5.2] and Proposition 2.5, we see that f has the form (2.4) for $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$. To extend this result to all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we apply (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 2.4. ■

We gather the remaining steps in the proof of Theorem 2.1 under one heading.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We assume that $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, and wish to prove that it is of the form (2.4). From (v) of Proposition 2.4 we have that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(I^m)$, where $I = (-1, 0)$. That is, ϕ is a $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ function whose support lies in I^m . Assume that ϕ is a density function so that $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$f_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \phi(-\mathbf{y}/\varepsilon) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (2.5)$$

It is easy to see that $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\varepsilon = f$, where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m .

By definition, $\phi(-\mathbf{y}/\varepsilon) > 0$ only if $0 < y_k < \varepsilon$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. From (iv) of Proposition 2.4, $E_{\mathbf{y}}f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ for each such \mathbf{y} . Thus, if $A_k = (a_{ij}^k) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, then for each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i f_{\varepsilon}(a_{ij}^1, \dots, a_{ij}^m) w_j \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^m w_i f(a_{ij}^1 + y_1, \dots, a_{ij}^m + y_m) w_j \right) \phi(-\mathbf{y}/\varepsilon) d\mathbf{y} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that $f_{\varepsilon} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Since $f_{\varepsilon} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we have from Proposition 2.6 that f_{ε} real entire and

$$f_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha},$$

where $c_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Call the right-hand side of the above equation $h_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})$. Then h_{ε} is an entire function on \mathbb{C}^m .

Since f_{ε} converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^m and for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ we have $|h_{\varepsilon}(z_1, \dots, z_m)| \leq f_{\varepsilon}(|z_1|, \dots, |z_m|)$, the set $\{h_{\varepsilon} : \varepsilon > 0\}$ forms a normal family on any bounded subset of \mathbb{C}^m ; see e.g. Rudin [12, p. 272]. Consequently, some subsequence converges, on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^m , to an entire function h . But f and h agree on \mathbb{R}^m , since f_{ε} and h_{ε} agree on \mathbb{R}^m . Therefore f is of the desired form (2.1). ■

In the last part of this section, we present variations of Theorem 2.1 for conditionally positive semidefinite matrices. By $Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ we denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ which are real symmetric and satisfy

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i a_{ij} w_j \geq 0$$

provided that $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0$. Such matrices are said to be *conditionally positive semidefinite*. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ denote the class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ if $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, for any n .

THEOREM 2.7. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $G_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if and only if f is real entire of the form*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tag{2.6}$$

where $c_\alpha \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof. Assume $f \in G_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. For each $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ set

$$B_k := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & A_k & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus $B_1, \dots, B_m \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}$, which implies that $f(B_1, \dots, B_m) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}$. It follows that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_m) - f(0, \dots, 0)J \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n,$$

and so we have established that $f - f(\mathbf{0}) \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to the function $f - f(\mathbf{0})$ we obtain (2.6).

Conversely, any f of the form (2.6) can be written as $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{0}) + g(\mathbf{x})$ where $g \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, so that $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) = f(\mathbf{0})J + g(A_1, \dots, A_m)$. Theorem 2.1 implies $g(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ and consequently $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. This proves the theorem. ■

For our next result we let $H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ be the class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ if $A_1, \dots, A_m \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, for any n .

THEOREM 2.8. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if and only if f is real entire and $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.*

REMARK 2.2. As we already pointed out, Schoenberg [13] showed that any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is real entire. Another equivalent integral representation will be described in the proof of the theorem.

Proof. Suppose first that $f \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Since $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n \subseteq Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, it follows that $f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Thus from Theorem 2.1, f is real entire and $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. To extend these inequalities we observe that whenever $A \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ it follows that $A + cJ \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus it follows that $E_c f \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ and so $E_c f \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Consequently, $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$.

Conversely, from Schoenberg [13, Theorem 5.1] (by replacing x with $-x$) it follows that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} e^{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} d\sigma(\mathbf{t}), \tag{2.7}$$

where the integral is an improper Stieltjes integral which is absolutely convergent in \mathbb{R}^m , and $d\sigma$ is a nonnegative measure on \mathbb{R}_+^m . Schoenberg actually proved this only for $m = 2$, but the proof extends to any m . For $m = 1$, this is a famous result of Bernstein; cf. Widder [15].

To make use of this representation we recall that $A \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ if and only if $e^{tA} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ for all $t \geq 0$; cf. Donoghue [3]. If $A_1, \dots, A_m \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ then $\sum_{j=1}^m t_j A_j \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ for all $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Hence, $e^{\sum_{j=1}^m t_j A_j} \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, which by (2.7) implies that $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. This proves the theorem. ■

Finally, we let $I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ denote the class of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ if $A_1, \dots, A_m \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, for any n .

THEOREM 2.9. *A function $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if and only if f is real entire and $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of the previous result, it is easily verified that whenever $f \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ then $E_{\mathbf{c}} f \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Since $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n \subseteq Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n$, it follows that if $f \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ then $f \in G_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Thus $E_{\mathbf{c}} f \in G_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. From Theorem 2.7 we see that f is real entire and $(D^\alpha f)(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Conversely, assume f is real entire and $D^\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Since $f(\mathbf{x})$ is nondecreasing in each variable, the function

$$f_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{c})$$

is also nonnegative on $\{\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c}\}$. Thus from Schoenberg [13, Theorem 5.1] $f_{\mathbf{c}}$ admits the representation

$$f_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^m} e^{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} d\sigma(\mathbf{t}),$$

where $d\sigma$ is a nonnegative measure on \mathbb{R}_+^m and the integral converges absolutely in $\{\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c}\}$. As before in Theorem 2.8, we see that

$$f_{\mathbf{c}}(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{R}}^n \quad \text{if } A_1, \dots, A_m \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n,$$

where \mathbf{c} is chosen to be any vector so that $a_{ij}^k \geq c_k$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. But clearly,

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_m) = f(\mathbf{c})J + f_{\mathbf{c}}(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}}^n,$$

and so $f \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, which proves the theorem. ■

3. THE COMPLEX CASE

In this section we investigate the analog of some of the results of the previous section for complex matrices. For this purpose, we let $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ denote the class of complex $n \times n$ Hermitian positive semidefinite matrices, and $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ the class of functions $f: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which $f(A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ whenever $A_1, \dots, A_m \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ for all n .

The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ if and only if f has the form*

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{z}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\beta}, \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (3.1)$$

where $c_{\alpha, \beta} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, and the power series converges absolutely for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

We remark, for the case $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, that the positive semidefinite kernel $k(\mathbf{z}, \zeta) = f((\mathbf{z}, \zeta))$, $\mathbf{z}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, is the reproducing kernel of certain Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . This identification is useful for computing n -widths of certain classes of analytic functions; see Micchelli [7].

In proving the sufficiency of (3.1), we utilize an analog of Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that $f, g \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, $h \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, and $a, b \geq 0$. Then:*

- (i) $af + bg \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.
- (ii) $fg \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.
- (iii) $h \circ f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.
- (iv) All affine functions of the form $a(z_1, \dots, z_m) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j z_j + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \bar{z}_j$ where $a_j \geq 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, and $b_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$, are in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.
- (v) $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ is closed under pointwise convergence.

On the basis of Lemma 3.2, whose proof parallels the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have:

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If $f: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has the form (3.1) with $c_{\alpha, \beta} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ and the power series converging absolutely for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, then $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.*

The more difficult part of Theorem 3.1 is the proof of the fact if $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$,

then f satisfies (3.1). We start with:

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, and*

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = u(\mathbf{z}) + iv(\mathbf{z}),$$

where $u(\mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{Re} f(\mathbf{z})$, and $v(\mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{Im} f(\mathbf{z})$. Then for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$

- (i) $f(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \overline{f(\mathbf{z})}$,
- (ii) $u(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = u(\mathbf{z})$,
- (iii) $v(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = -v(\mathbf{z})$,
- (iv) $u \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$,
- (v) $f|_{\mathbb{R}^m} = u|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$.

Proof. (i): If $A = (a_{jl}) \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, then $a_{jl} = \bar{a}_{lj}$. Thus, if $A_k = (a_{jl}^k) \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, we must have

$$f(\bar{a}_{lj}^1, \dots, \bar{a}_{lj}^m) = f(a_{jl}^1, \dots, a_{jl}^m) = \overline{f(a_{jl}^1, \dots, a_{jl}^m)}.$$

Thus $f(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \overline{f(\mathbf{z})}$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

Statements (ii) and (iii) are immediate consequences of (i). As for (iv), we use (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 3.2 to conclude that $\overline{f(\cdot)} \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Consequently (i) of Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{f(\cdot) + \overline{f(\cdot)}}{2} \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m.$$

Thus $u \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Finally, to prove (v) we note that from (iii), whenever $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ it follows that $v(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Thus $f|_{\mathbb{R}^m} = u|_{\mathbb{R}^m}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $P_{\mathbb{R}}^n \subseteq P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, we easily conclude that $u|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. ■

We will initially consider $u(\mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{Re} f(\mathbf{z})$. Note that $u \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ while $u|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, and for each $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $u(\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we use the notation $|\mathbf{d}| = (|d_1|, \dots, |d_m|)$, $\|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty} = \max\{|d_j| : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, and also $\|\mathbf{d}\|_2 = (\sum_{j=1}^m |d_j|^2)^{1/2}$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{c} \geq |\mathbf{d}|$, $\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then the function g defined by*

$$g(\mathbf{z}) = 2u(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}) \pm [u(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{d}) + u(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{z} + \bar{\mathbf{d}})], \quad (3.2)$$

where $e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{z} = (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_m} z_m)$ for $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$, is in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.

This result and its proof are complex analogs of (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 2.4.

Proof. Let $A_k \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. For $\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{i\theta_k} \\ e^{-i\theta_k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^2,$$

and for $c_k \geq |d_k|$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_k & d_k \\ \bar{d}_k & c_k \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_k + c_k J & e^{i\theta_k} + d_k J \\ e^{-i\theta_k} A_k + \bar{d}_k J & A_k + c_k J \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n},$$

where, as earlier, J is the $n \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are one. Thus the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(A_1 + c_1 J, \dots, A_m + c_m J) & u(e^{i\theta_1} A_1 + d_1 J, \dots, e^{i\theta_m} A_m + d_m J) \\ u(e^{-i\theta_1} A_1 + \bar{d}_1 J, \dots, e^{-i\theta_m} A_m + \bar{d}_m J) & u(A_1 + c_1 J, \dots, A_m + c_m J) \end{pmatrix}$$

which we will denote as C is in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}$.

Set

$$Q_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ \pm I & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n},$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then

$$D_{\pm} = Q_{\pm}^T C Q_{\pm} \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}.$$

The principal submatrix of D_{\pm} determined by its first n rows and n columns is in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. It is given by

$$2u(A_1 + c_1 J, \dots, A_m + c_m J) \pm [u(e^{i\theta_1} A_1 + d_1 J, \dots, e^{i\theta_m} A_m + d_m J) + u(e^{-i\theta_1} A_1 + \bar{d}_1 J, \dots, e^{-i\theta_m} A_m + \bar{d}_m J)].$$

This proves that the function g defined by (3.2) is in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. ■

Let us draw some conclusions from Proposition 3.5. Using (ii) of Lemma 3.4, it follows that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$u(e^{-i\theta} \mathbf{x} + \bar{\mathbf{d}}) = u(\overline{e^{i\theta} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}}) = u(e^{i\theta} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}).$$

Thus for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the function g defined by (3.2) simplifies to $g(\mathbf{x}) = 2[u(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}) \pm u(e^{i\theta}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d})]$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.4 (v) we have $g|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$ if $c \geq |\mathbf{d}|$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We write this statement as

$$u(\cdot + c) \pm u(e^{i\theta} \cdot + \mathbf{d}) \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m. \tag{3.3}$$

Next we introduce the function $U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = u(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Also, by $U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$, we mean explicitly for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ the derivative

$$U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\partial^{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m}}{\partial y_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial y_m^{\beta_m}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_m^{\alpha_m}} U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

The exact order of differentiation among the x_1, \dots, x_m and y_1, \dots, y_m is, as will be shown, not important. However, in what follows we always assume that the partial derivatives are first with respect to \mathbf{x} and then with respect to \mathbf{y} . We also use the notation $u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{z}) := U_{\alpha, 0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$.

Our goal is to prove

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Then the function U defined above has the form*

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} e_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \mathbf{y}^{\beta}, \tag{3.4}$$

and the power series converges absolutely for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$. In other words, $U : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic.

We begin the proof with

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, $u = \operatorname{Re} f$, and $U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = u(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then:*

- (i) $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is in $C(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$.
- (ii) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $U(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ is real analytic.
- (iii) For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $U(\cdot, \mathbf{y})$ is real analytic.
- (iv) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $u_{\alpha} \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.
- (v) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, $U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ is real analytic.

Proof. For our first claim we let $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ and choose $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $c > |\mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b}|$. Then from (3.3) the function

$$U(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0}) \pm U(\mathbf{a} + (\cos \theta)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} + (\sin \theta)\mathbf{x})$$

is in $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. In particular, from Proposition 2.4(ii) it is nondecreasing (elementwise) on \mathbb{R}_+^m . Hence it follows that

$$|U(\mathbf{a} + (\cos \theta)\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} + (\sin \theta)\mathbf{x}) - U(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})| \leq U(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0}) - U(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0})$$

for $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Since $U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0})$ is a continuous function of \mathbf{x} [see Proposition 2.4 (v)], the continuity of U at (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) easily follows.

To prove (ii), let \mathbf{x} be any vector in \mathbb{R}^m . In (3.3) choose $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{x}, \theta_j = \pi/2, j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\mathbf{c} \geq |\mathbf{x}|$. It then follows that

$$u(\cdot + \mathbf{c}) \pm u(i \cdot + \mathbf{x}) \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m.$$

By (v) of Lemma 3.4, $u(\cdot + \mathbf{c}) = E_{\mathbf{c}}u|_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ is in $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$, and thus is real analytic. Thus for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, U(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) = u(i \cdot + \mathbf{x})$ is real analytic. Next, we choose $\theta = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{d} = i\mathbf{y}$, and $\mathbf{c} \geq |\mathbf{y}|$ in (3.3) to get

$$u(\cdot + \mathbf{c}) \pm u(\cdot + i\mathbf{y}) \in F_{\mathbb{R}}^m,$$

and so, as before, $U(\cdot, \mathbf{y}) = u(\cdot + i\mathbf{y})$ is real analytic for any fixed $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, which proves (iii). For the next claim, we first note from (iii) that $u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{z})$ exists for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. To prove u_{α} is actually in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ it suffices to demonstrate this for $\alpha = \mathbf{e}_k = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 0)$, where \mathbf{e}_k is the k th unit vector, $1 \leq k \leq m$. The general case follows from this case by induction on $|\alpha|$.

Let h be a positive number, and choose $\mathbf{d} = \theta = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{c} = h\mathbf{e}_k$ in (3.2). Then, since h is positive, Proposition 3.5 implies that $h^{-1}[E_{\mathbf{c}}u(\cdot) - u(\cdot)] \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Letting $h \rightarrow 0^+$ and using the fact that $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ is closed [see Lemma 3.2 (v)], we have $u_{\mathbf{e}_k} \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$.

There remains (v). However, from (ii) we conclude that since the real-valued function u_{α} is in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, we have that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, U_{\alpha,0}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ is real analytic and hence so too is $U_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$. ■

We now have the needed information to prove Proposition 3.6.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. From Lemma 3.7(iii) we have

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \frac{U_{\alpha,0}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y})}{\alpha!} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$$

valid for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Now, from (v) of Lemma 3.7 $U_{\alpha,0}(\mathbf{0}, \cdot)$ is real analytic.

Thus,

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \frac{U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}{\beta!} \mathbf{y}^\beta \right) \frac{\mathbf{x}^\alpha}{\alpha!}$$

is also valid for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

The remainder of the proof consists in showing that the double sum converges uniformly and absolutely for \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in any bounded subset of \mathbb{C}^m . To this end, we apply (3.3) to the real-valued function u_α which lies in $F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ for the choice $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\pi/2, \dots, \pi/2)$. We conclude again, using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.7, that the function

$$U_{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{0}}(\cdot, \mathbf{0}) \pm U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{0}, \cdot)$$

is in $F_{\mathbb{R}}^m$. Hence it follows that

$$|U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})| \leq U_{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}).$$

Also, for any $R > 0$, by the Cauchy integral formula there is an $M_R \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$0 \leq U_{\alpha, \mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \leq \frac{M_R \alpha!}{R^{|\alpha|}}.$$

Therefore, if $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty, \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq cR$ for some constant $c \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}{\alpha! \beta!} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta \right| &\leq \left| \frac{U_{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}{\alpha! \beta!} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta \right| \\ &\leq M_R \frac{(\alpha + \beta)!}{\alpha! \beta!} \leq M_R (2c)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} (2c)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2c)^r \binom{2m+r-1}{r} \\ &\leq 2^{2m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (4c)^r < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \frac{U_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta}{\alpha! \beta!}$$

is absolutely and uniformly convergent for \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in any bounded subset of \mathbb{C}^m . ■

Thus far we have proven that if $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ and $u = \operatorname{Re} f$, then for $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we have

$$u(\mathbf{z}) = U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} e_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta,$$

where the power series converges absolutely for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$. We now prove this same result for $v = \operatorname{Im} f$.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ and $v = \operatorname{Im} f$. Set $v(\mathbf{z}) = V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}$. Then*

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} d_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta, \quad (3.5)$$

and the power series converges absolutely for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$.

Proof. Let $g_k(\mathbf{z}) = z_k$ and $\hat{g}_k(\mathbf{z}) = \bar{z}_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. From (iv) of Lemma 3.2, $g_k, \hat{g}_k \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$, while from (ii) we obtain $g_k f, \hat{g}_k f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Let $x_k = \operatorname{Re} z_k, y_k = \operatorname{Im} z_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\operatorname{Re}[g_k(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z})] = x_k U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - y_k V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $\operatorname{Re}[\hat{g}_k(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z})] = x_k U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + y_k V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Therefore from Proposition 3.6 we obtain that

$$y_k V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} d_{\alpha, \beta}^k \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta,$$

where the power series converges absolutely for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ and each $k = 1, \dots, m$. Setting $y_k = 0$ in the above gives $d_{\alpha, \beta}^k = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, with $\beta_k = 0$. Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \\ \beta_k > 0}} \frac{d_{\alpha, \beta}^k \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta}{y_k} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} d_{\alpha, \beta}^k \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which proves Proposition 3.8. ■

We gather together the remaining steps in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We assume $f \in F_{\mathbb{C}}^m$. Then

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + iV(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

and from Propositions 3.6 and 3.8, we have

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} b_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \mathbf{y}^\beta, \tag{3.7}$$

where the power series is absolutely convergent for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$. Substitute $x_k = (z_k + \bar{z}_k)/2$ and $y_k = (z_k - \bar{z}_k)/2i, k = 1, \dots, m$, in (3.7). It is easily checked that from (3.7) we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{z}^\alpha \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\beta, \tag{3.8}$$

and this power series is absolutely convergent for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. It remains to prove that $c_{\alpha, \beta} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$. This is done as in the proof of Proposition 2.5. For any choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ and numbers $\{y_{jk} : j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, the matrices

$$A_k = (\varepsilon y_{jk} \bar{y}_{lk}), \quad j, l = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

are in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. Thus

$$\sum_{j, l = 1}^n w_j f(\varepsilon y_{j1} \bar{y}_{l1}, \dots, \varepsilon y_{jm} \bar{y}_{lm}) \bar{w}_l \geq 0$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Substituting in (3.8), we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} c_{\alpha, \beta} \varepsilon^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \left| \sum_{j = 1}^n w_j y_{j1}^{\alpha_1} \bar{y}_{j1}^{\beta_1} \dots y_{jm}^{\alpha_m} \bar{y}_{jm}^{\beta_m} \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

This inequality must hold for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\{y_{jk} : j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is possible, given $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, to choose n, \mathbf{w} , and $\{y_{jk} : j = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j = 1}^n w_j y_{j1}^{\alpha_1} \bar{y}_{j1}^{\beta_1} \dots y_{jm}^{\alpha_m} \bar{y}_{jm}^{\beta_m} = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ satisfying $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq |\mathbf{p}| + |\mathbf{q}|$ except in the case where $\alpha = \mathbf{p}$ and $\beta = \mathbf{q}$. We then obtain $c_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \geq 0$ by letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in (3.9). \blacksquare

REFERENCES

- 1 J. P. R. Christensen and P. Ressel, Functions operating on positive definite matrices and a theorem of Schoenberg, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 243:89–98 (1978).
- 2 R. J. P. de Figueiredo, A generalized Fock space framework for nonlinear system and signal analysis, *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems* 30:637–647 (1983).
- 3 W. F. Donoghue, *Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- 4 C. H. FitzGerald and R. A. Horn, On fractional Hadamard powers of positive definite matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 61:633–642 (1977).
- 5 C. S. Hertz, Fonctions opérant sur les fonctions définies-positive, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 13:161–180 (1963).
- 6 R. A. Horn and C. A. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1985.
- 7 C. A. Micchelli, N -Widths for holomorphic functions on the unit ball in n -space, in *Functional Analysis in Approximation Theory* (C. A. Micchelli, D. V. Pai, and B. V. Limaye, Eds.) ISNM76, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986, pp. 195–204.
- 8 C. A. Micchelli, Interpolation of scattered data: Distance matrices and conditionally positive definite functions, *Constr. Approx.* 2:11–22 (1986).
- 9 M. J. D. Powell, The Theory of Radial Basis Functions in 1990, Numerical Analysis Report, Cambridge Univ., 1990.
- 10 A. W. Roberts and D. F. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic, 1973.
- 11 W. Rudin, Positive definite sequences and absolutely monotonic functions, *Duke Math. J.* 26:617–622 (1959).
- 12 W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- 13 I. J. Schoenberg, On finite-viewed systems of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35:452–478 (1933).
- 14 I. J. Schoenberg, Positive definite functions on spheres, *Duke Math J.* 9: 96–108 (1942).
- 15 D. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton U.P., Princeton, N.J., 1946.

Received 30 April 1992; final manuscript accepted 21 August 1993