BEST MEAN APPROXIMATION TO A 2-DIMENSIONAL KERNEL BY TENSOR PRODUCTS¹

BY C. A. MICCHELLI AND A. PINKUS

Communicated by Walter Gautschi, December 14, 1976

We are concerned with the problem

$$\min_{u_i,v_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| K(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x)v_i(y) \right| dxdy,$$

where $u_i, v_i \in L^1[0, 1]$, *n* fixed. The solution of the L^2 version of this problem is a classical result of E. Schmidt [3] (see also Courant and Hilbert [1, p. 161]).

For the class of strictly totally positive kernels K, we are able to show that a best choice of functions $u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is determined by certain sections $K(x, \xi_1), \ldots, K(x, \xi_n), K(\tau_1, y), \ldots, K(\tau_n, y)$ of the kernel K.

DEFINITION. A real-valued kernel K(x, y), defined and continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, is called strictly totally positive (S.T.P.) if all its Fredholm minors

$$K\binom{s_1,\ldots,s_m}{t_1,\ldots,t_m} = \det \|K(s_i,t_j)\|_{i,j=1}^m$$

are positive for $0 \le s_1 \le \cdots \le s_m \le 1, 0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_m \le 1$, and all $m \ge 1$.

For every $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$, $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m < s_{m+1} = 1$, define the step function

$$h_s(x) = (-1)^i, \quad s_i \leq x < s_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, \ldots, m.$$

Furthermore, let $||f||_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$, and

$$(Kh_s)(x) = \int_0^1 K(x, y)h_s(y) \, dy, \quad (K^Th_s)(y) = \int_0^1 K(x, y)h_s(x) \, dx.$$

The following theorem plays a central role in this work.

THEOREM 1. Let K be a S.T.P. kernel. Given $n \ge 1$, there exists $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n), 0 < \xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_n < 1$, such that for any $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n), 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < 1$,

$$\|Kh_{\xi}\|_{1} \leq \|Kh_{t}\|_{1}.$$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A63, 41A45.

¹Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024. Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

Moreover, Kh_{ξ} has exactly *n* distinct sign changes at $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n), 0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_n < 1$, and

- (1) sgn $Kh_{\xi} = h_{\tau}$,
- (2) sgn $K^T h_{\tau} = h_{\xi}$.

(When Kh_{ξ} or K^Th_{τ} are zero in (1) or (2), we assign a value to the sgn so that the equations are valid.)

COROLLARY. Let $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ be the τ -point defined in Theorem 1. Then,

$$\|Kh_{\xi}\|_{1} = \|K^{T}h_{\tau}\|_{1} \leq \|K^{T}h_{s}\|_{1}$$

for every s-point, $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n), 0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_n < 1$.

We are now prepared to state the main theorem. To this end observe that the function

(3)
$$E(x, y) = K \begin{pmatrix} x, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \\ y, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \end{pmatrix} / K \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \\ \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \end{pmatrix}$$

(where ξ and τ are obtained from Theorem 1) may be expressed as

$$= K(x, y) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} c_{ij}K(x, \xi_i)K(\tau_j, y),$$

where

$$c_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} K \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{j-1}, \tau_{j+1}, \ldots, \tau_n \\ \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_n \end{pmatrix} / K \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \\ \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

(4)
$$E_{1,1}(K) \equiv \min_{u_i, v_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| K(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(x) v_i(y) \right| dx dy$$
$$\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |E(x, y)| dx dy.$$

Actually, we have

THEOREM 2.

$$E_{1,1}(K) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |E(x, y)| dx dy = \|Kh_{\xi}\|_1$$
$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^0(x)v_i^0(y)| dx dy,$$

where $u_i^0(x) = K(x, \xi_i)$, and $v_i^0(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}K(\tau_j, y)$.

PROOF. By the Hobby-Rice Theorem [2], we know that given any n functions $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in L^1[0, 1]$, there exists a $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_k), 0 \le k \le n$, such that $\int_0^1 v_i(y)h_t(y)dy = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $h(x, y) = h_t(y)\text{sgn}(Kh_t)(x)$. Then, for any $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in L^1[0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kh_{\xi}\|_{1} &\leq \int_{0}^{1} |(Kh_{t})(x)| dx = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(K(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}(x) v_{i}(y) \right) h(x, y) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| K(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}(x) v_{i}(y) \right| dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ were arbitrarily chosen in $L^1[0, 1]$, we have $||Kh_k||_1 \leq E_{1,1}(K)$. Also, in view of (1), (2), and (3),

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |E(x, y)| dx dy &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 E(x, y) h_\tau(x) h_\xi(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_0^1 (Kh_\xi)(x) h_\tau(x) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} (K^T h_\tau)(\xi_i) (Kh_\xi)(\tau_j) \\ &= \int_0^1 |(Kh_\xi)(x)| dx = \|Kh_\xi\|_1, \end{split}$$

which, together with (4), finishes the proof.

Full details, extensions, and the relationship of this problem to n-widths will appear elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methods of mathematical physics, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1953. MR 16, 426.

2. C. R. Hobby and J. R. Rice, A moment problem in L_1 -approximation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 665-670. MR 31 #2550.

3. E. Schmidt, Zur Theorie der Linearen und Nichtlinearen Integralgleichungen. I, Math. Ann. 63 (1907), 433–476.

IBM, T. J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER, MATH. SCIENCES DEPARTMENT, YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NEW YORK 10598

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706