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Let $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be a given real sequence. We wish to determine, in the first instance, easily verified conditions on $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ which imply that the sequence of functions $\left\{x^{k}-c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is total in $C[0,1]$; that is, that the closed linear span $\bar{V}\left\{x^{k}-c_{k}\right\}$ is all of $C[0,1]$ or, in other words, that every real function, continuous in [0,1], is the limit, in the uniform norm, of a sequence of finite linear combinations of the $x^{k}-c_{k}$. When this happens we refer to $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ as an approximating sequence. Since the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$ is total in $C[0,1]$, our problem is equivalent to demanding that the function $f(x) \equiv 1$ belong to $\bar{V}\left\{x^{k}-c_{k}\right\}$. In this case we wish, in the second instance, to find an effective approximation to $f(x) \equiv 1$ in the uniform norm on [ 0,1$]$ by finite linear combinations of the $x^{k}-c_{k}$.

An equivalent formulation of our problem, which is sometimes useful, is afforded by the following proposition. This proposition is an elementary application of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Proposition 1. The sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence if and
only if it is not a Hausdorff moment sequence, i.e., if and only if, with $c_{0}=1$, there is no real function $\mu$ of bounded variation on $[0,1]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{k} d \mu(x), \quad k=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine whether or not $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence, one might try to apply the following well-known criterion [1, p. 99].

Proposition 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a real function $\mu$ of bounded variation, satisfying (1), is the convergence, as $n \uparrow \infty$, of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=0}^{n}\left|\lambda_{n v}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{n v}=\binom{n}{v} \Delta^{n-v} c_{v}=\binom{n}{v} \sum_{k=0}^{n-v}(-1)^{k}\binom{n-v}{k} c_{v+k}, \quad v=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

Note that the value of $c_{0}$ is immaterial in the above theorem since we may add point masses at $x=0$. The convergence of (2) is rather difficult to verify and so we seek simpler sufficient conditions for a sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ to be an approximating sequence. We now present some such conditions.

1. If $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a Hausdorff moment sequence, then $\left|c_{k}\right| \leqslant\|\mu\|$ for all $k$, where $\|\mu\|$ denotes the total variation of $\mu$. In addition, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that the sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ must converge, i.e., $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}$ exists. Thus if either $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|c_{k}\right|=\infty$ or $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}$ does not exist, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence. In the first case the function 1 can be approximated by $f_{k}(x)=\left(c_{n_{k}}\right)^{-1}\left(x^{n_{k}}-c_{n_{k}}\right)$ for a suitable subsequence $\left\{c_{n_{k}}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$.
2. If $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is not an approximating sequence and if we change $c_{k}$ for a finite number of $k$ 's, however slightly, then the resulting sequence $\left\{c_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence. For if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{z} d \mu(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F(z)$ is holomorphic and bounded in $\operatorname{Re} z>\delta>0$ for every $\delta>0$, with $F(k)=c_{k}$. But such an $F(z)$ is uniquely determined by its values at all but a finite number of the $k$ 's. In particular, if $c_{k}=c$ for all $k$, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ is not an approximating sequence, so that if $c_{k}=c$ for all but a finite number of $k$,
and there exists a $j$ for which $c_{j} \neq c$, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ is an approximating sequence.
3. Suppose that the sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is such that for all $k \geqslant M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(-1)^{k}\left(c_{k}-c\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$, fixed. If $c_{k}-c \not \equiv 0$, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence. By subtracting a point mass at 1 , if necessary, we may assume that $c=0$ and that $c_{r} \neq 0$ for some $r \geqslant M$. Then for all $n \geqslant r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v=0}^{n}\left|\lambda_{n v}\right| & \geqslant\left|\lambda_{n r}\right|=\left|\binom{n}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r}(-1)^{k}\binom{n-r}{k} c_{r+k}\right| \\
& \geqslant\binom{ n}{r}\left|c_{r}\right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \uparrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

establishing the result.
As a slightly more general example we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k}\left(c_{n_{k}}-c\right) \geqslant 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence satisfying the Müntz condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(n_{k}\right)^{-1}=\infty$. If $c_{k} \neq c$ for some $k \geqslant 1$, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence. We may again assume that $c=0$ and consider the function $F(z)$ of (3). The condition (5) implies that $F\left(t_{k}\right)=0$ for some $t_{k}$ with $n_{k} \leqslant t_{k} \leqslant$ $n_{k+1}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(t_{k}\right)^{-1}=\infty$, we see, by the uniqueness theorem for functions holomorphic and bounded in a half-plane, that $F(z) \equiv 0$, contradicting the fact that $c_{k} \not \equiv 0$.
4. If (4) holds and $c_{k} \neq c$ for infinitely many $k$, we can explicitly construct a good approximation to $f(x) \equiv 1$ on $[0,1]$. For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$, let $T_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} x^{k}$ denote the $n$th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind on $[0,1]$, normalized so that $\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\infty}-b_{0}^{(n)}-1$. We choose $\left\{a_{k}^{(n)}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ and $A(n), k=1,2,3, \ldots, n ; n=1,2, \ldots$, to satisfy

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{(n)}\left(x^{k}-c_{k}\right)-1=A(n) T_{n}(x)
$$

For this we require that $a_{k}^{(n)}=A(n) b_{k}^{(n)}$ and that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}+1=-A(n) b_{0}^{(n)}=-A(n)
$$

Thus

$$
A(n)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right)+1=-A(n)
$$

and

$$
A(n)=-\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}+1\right)^{-1}
$$

(See below where we show that $A(n)$ is well defined for all sufficiently large n.) We may assume that (4) holds with $\varepsilon=1$ and $c=0$. Since, for every $n$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{(n)}\left(x^{k}-c_{k}\right)-1\right\|_{\infty}=|A(n)|\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=|A(n)|
$$

it suffices to show that $A(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that

$$
(-1)^{k} b_{k}^{(n)}=\frac{2^{k}}{k!} \frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1^{2}\right) \cdots\left(n^{2}-(k-1)^{2}\right)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots \cdots \cdot(2 k-1)}
$$

Let $k_{0}$ be the smallest integer $k \geqslant M$ for which $c_{k} \neq 0$. Then for $n \geqslant M$ we have

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \geqslant\left|\sum_{k=M}^{n} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right|-\left|\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \geqslant\left|b_{k_{0}}^{(n)} c_{k_{0}}\right|-\left|\sum_{k=1}^{M-1} b_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right|
$$

Note that it is precisely here that we make use of the hypothesis that $(-1)^{k} c_{k} \geqslant 0, k \geqslant M$. It is readily shown that the first term on the right of the above is $\geqslant \delta n^{2 M}$ for some suitable $\delta>0$, while the second term is $O\left(n^{2 M-2}\right)$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Thus (6) holds and the functions

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{(n)}\left(x^{k}-c_{k}\right)
$$

converge uniformly to 1 on $[0,1]$.
5. If $\left|c_{n_{k}}-c\right|^{1 / n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where the subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the Müntz condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(n_{k}\right)^{-1}=\infty$ and $c_{k} \not \equiv c$, then $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is an approximating sequence. We assume that $c=0$ and that $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the Hausdorff moment sequence of a real function $\mu$ of bounded variation. For each $x \in[0,1)$ assume, without loss of generality, that $\mu(x)=\mu(x+0)$. Set

$$
\rho=\inf \{s: \mu(x)=\mu(1), s \leqslant x \leqslant 1\}
$$

Since $c_{n_{k}}=O\left(d^{n_{k}}\right)($ as $k \rightarrow \infty)$ for each $d>0$, it follows from Theorem 2 of
[2] that $\rho=0$. Thus $\mu$ is the Dirac measure at 0 , which contradicts the fact that $c_{k} \neq 0$ for some $k \geqslant 1$.
In the case when $\left|c_{k}\right|^{1 / k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we shall again explicitly construct a good approximation to $f(x) \equiv 1$. We are unable to do this in the general case where the subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ is more lacunary. Since $\left|c_{k}\right|^{1 / k} \rightarrow 0$, we can find a positive continuous increasing function $\phi(x)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\phi(x) \rightarrow \infty & \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \\
\frac{\log \left|c_{k}\right|}{k} \leqslant-\phi(k), & k=1,2, \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

Set $\varepsilon(k)=\max \left\{(\log k)^{-1 / 2},\left(\phi\left((\log k)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\}, k=2,3, \ldots$, so that $\varepsilon(k)$ is positive and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon(k) \rightarrow 0 & \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \\
\varepsilon(k) \phi(\varepsilon(k) \log k) \rightarrow \infty & \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

We now construct a sequence of polynomials

$$
p_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)} x^{k}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

with the property that $\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \geqslant \delta>0,
$$

where $\delta$ is some suitable constant. Assuming that this may be done, we have

$$
\left\|1+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)}\left(x^{k}-c_{k}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \delta^{-1}\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus achieving the desired approximation to 1 .
It remains to construct $p_{n}$. Assume for simplicity that $c_{1} \neq 0$. Set

$$
p_{n}(x)=x\left(1-x^{r}\right)^{n},
$$

where $r=[\varepsilon(n) \log n]$. Thus, in the above notation, $s_{n}=n[\varepsilon(n) \log n]+1$. It is an elementary exercise to verify that

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=\exp \left\{(-1+o(1))(\varepsilon(n))^{-1}\right\}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

so that $\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow 0$. Now

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \geqslant\left|c_{1}\right|-\binom{n}{1}\left|c_{r+1}\right|-\binom{n}{2}\left|c_{2 r+1}\right|-\cdots
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\frac{\log \left|c_{r+1}\right|}{r+1} \leqslant-\phi(r+1) \leqslant-\phi(\varepsilon(n) \log n)
$$

and thus from (7),

$$
\log \left|c_{r+1}\right| \leqslant-(\log n)[\varepsilon(n) \phi(\varepsilon(n) \log n)] \leqslant-2 \log n
$$

for all $n$ sufficiently large. Thus $\left|c_{r+1}\right| \leqslant n^{-2}$. Similarly it may be shown that $\left|c_{2 r+1}\right| \leqslant\left(n^{-2}\right)^{2}, \ldots,\left|c_{j r+1}\right| \leqslant\left(n^{-2}\right)^{j}$, for all $r$ sufficiently large and $j=1,2, \ldots$. Hence

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{s_{n}} \alpha_{k}^{(n)} c_{k}\right| \geqslant\left|c_{1}\right|-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k} n^{-2 k} \geqslant\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \geqslant \delta>0
$$

as required.
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