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#### Abstract

Let $U_{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional subspace of $C[0,1]$. We prove that if $n \geq 2$, and $U_{n}$ contains a function which is strictly positive on $(0,1)$, then there exists an $f \in C[0,1]$ which has more than one best one-sided $L^{1}$-approximation from $U_{n}$. We also characterize those $U_{n}$ with the property that each $f \in C[0,1]$ has a unique best one-sided $L^{\prime}(w)$-approximation from $U_{n}$ with respect to every strictly positive continuous weight function $w$.


1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the problem of uniqueness of best one-sided $L^{\prime}$-approximations to continuous functions from a finite dimensional subspace. We prove two main results. To explain these results, some notation is needed.
$U_{n}$ will denote a fixed $n$-dimensional subspace of $C[0,1] . A_{n}$ will be the set of $f \in C[0,1]$ for which there exists a $u \in U_{n}$ satisfying $u(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Thus

$$
A_{n}=\left\{f: f \in C[0,1], \exists u \in U_{n}, u \leq f\right\}
$$

If $U_{n}$ contains a strictly positive function then $A_{n}=C[0,1]$.
It is easily seen that for each $f \in A_{n}$ there exists a $u^{*} \in U_{n}, u^{*} \leq f$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-u^{*}\right\|_{1}=\min \left\{\|f-u\|_{1}: u \in U_{n}, u \leq f\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x$. Such a $u^{*}$ we call a best one-sided $L^{1}$-approximation to $f$ from $U_{n}$. Since we consider $u \leq f$, the minimum problem in (1.1) is seen to be equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x: u \in U_{n}, u \leq f\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}$ if for every $f \in A_{n}$ there exists a unique best one-sided $L^{1}$-approximation to $f$ from $U_{n}$.

DeVore [1] proved that if $U_{n}, n \geq 2$, is a Tchebycheff space then $U_{n}$ is not a unicity space for $L^{1}$. In Pinkus [3], this negative result was also shown to be valid if $U_{n}$, $n \geq 2$, is a subspace of splines with fixed knots. (Neither of these two results represented the main contents of these papers). Strauss [5] gave a series of necessary and

[^0]sufficient conditions for $U_{n}$ to be a unicity space for $L^{1}$. One of these equivalent conditions is the following:

Theorem A (Strauss [5]). $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}$ if and only if for each $u \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ there exists $a v \in U_{n}$ for which
(1) $v(x) \leq|u(x)|$, all $x$,
(2) $\int_{0}^{1} v(x) \mathrm{d} x>0$.

On the basis of the above theorem, Strauss was able to prove that if $U_{n}, n \geq 2$, is a weak Tchebycheff space containing a strictly positive function, then $U_{n}$ is not a unicity space for $L^{1}$. Our first result shows that Tchebycheff and weak Tchebycheff spaces are irrelevant in the above result. We prove

Theorem 1. If there exists $a u \in U_{n}, n \geq 2$, such that $u(x)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$, then $U_{n}$ is not a unicity space for $L^{1}$.

Note that for $n=1$ it easily follows from (1.2) (or from Theorem A) that $U_{1}$ is a unicity space if and only if $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x \neq 0$ for $u \in U_{1} /\{0\}$.

As may be seen from Theorem A, the necessary and sufficient conditions given therein are generally very difficult to check for $n \geq 2$.

Let $W$ denote the set of all continuous strictly positive functions on [ 0,1$]$. For each $w \in W$, set

$$
\|f\|_{w}=\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)| w(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Paraphrasing the previous definitions we say that $u^{*}$ is a best one-sided $L^{1}(w)$ approximation to $f \in A_{n}$ from $U_{n}$ if $u^{*} \in U_{n}, u^{*} \leq f$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-u^{*}\right\|_{w}=\min \left\{\|f-u\|_{w}: u \in U_{n}, u \leq f\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\int_{0}^{1} u(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x: u \in U_{n}, u \leq f\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ if for every $f \in A_{n}$ ( $A_{n}$ does not depend on $w$ ) there exists a unique best one-sided $L^{1}(w)$ approximation to $f$ from $U_{n}$. In general a best one-sided $L^{1}(w)$ approximation to $f$ from $U_{n}$ is $w$ dependent.

It is easily seen that Theorems A and 1 , and the examples previously mentioned, are valid in the case of best one-sided $L^{1}(w)$ approximation for any $w \in W$, fixed. The only change is that in Theorem A we must replace (2) by

$$
\left(2^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{1} v(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x>0 .
$$

Thus the conditions as given in Theorem A are $w$ dependent. This is also the case in the problem of uniqueness for the two-sided $L^{1}(w)$ approximation problem (see e.g. Kroo [2], Pinkus [4]), while this is not the case for the corresponding one and two-sided approximation problem in the $L^{\infty}(w)$ norm, $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(w)}=\max \{|f(x)| w(x): 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. (The Haar condition is $w$ independent). Thus aside from the negative result of Theorem 1 , it is rather difficult to ascertain whether a given $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{\prime}(w)$ for a given $w \in W$. For one particular class of $U_{n}$, however, this question is readily answered. For $u \in U_{n}$, set $\operatorname{supp}(u)=\{x: u(x) \neq 0\}$. If $U_{n}$ has a basis of functions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ for which $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap \sup \left(u_{j}\right)=\varnothing$, all $i \neq j$, then $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ if and only if $\int_{0}^{1} u_{i}(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$. This readily follows from (1.4). Here our $n$-dimensional problem has reduced to $n 1$-dimensional problems.

Since the conditions of Theorem A are difficult to check we might ask for conditions on $U_{n}$ implying that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ for all $w \in W$. Our second result deals with this problem.

Theorem 2. $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ for every $w \in W$ if and only if $U_{n}$ has a basis of functions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ for which
(1) $u_{i}(x) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$, all $x$
(2) $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(u_{j}\right)=\varnothing$, all $i \neq j$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $u_{1} \in U_{n}, n \geq 2$, be such that $u_{1}(x)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. Assume without loss of generality that $\int_{0}^{1} u_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$. We may construct a basis for $U_{n},\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} u_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0, i=2, \ldots, n$. Let $V=\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$.

For each $v \in V \backslash\{0\}$, set

$$
J(v)=\{x: v(x) \leq 0\}
$$

and let $|J(v)|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of $J(v)$. Note that $J(v)=J(c v)$ for all $c>0$. Since $\bar{V}=\left\{v: v \in V,\|v\|_{\infty}=1\right\}$ is compact and equicontinuous, there exists a $v^{*} \in \bar{V}$ for which $\left|J\left(v^{*}\right)\right| \geq|J(v)|$ for all $v \in \bar{V} \subseteq U_{n}$.

Let $v_{+}^{*}(x)=\max \left\{v^{*}(x), 0\right\}$. Note that $v_{+}^{*} \equiv 0$ since $\int_{0}^{1} v^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$. We claim that if $u \in U_{n}, u \leq v_{+}^{*}$, then $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq 0$. This will prove the theorem (from (1.2)) since $v_{+}^{*} \in C[0,1], 0, v^{*} \leq v_{+}^{*}$, and $0, v^{*} \in V$.

Every $u \in U_{n}$ is of the form $u=b u_{1}+v$, where $b \in R, v \in V$. Furthermore, $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x=b$. Assume that there exists a $u=b u_{1}+v$, as above, with $b>0$ and $u \leq v_{+}^{*}$. For $x \in J\left(v^{*}\right), v_{+}^{*}(x)=0$ and $v(x) \leq-b u_{1}(x)$. Since $u_{1}(x)>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$, it follows that $v \not \equiv 0$ and $J(v) \supseteq J\left(v^{*}\right)$. Because $\int_{0}^{1} v^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$, there exists an $x^{*} \in(0,1)$ for which $v^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$, and $v^{*}$ takes strictly positive values in every neighborhood of $x^{*}$. However $v\left(x^{*}\right) \leq-b u_{1}\left(x^{*}\right)<0$ and thus $J(v)$ contains a neighborhood of $x^{*}$, implying that $|J(v)|>\left|J\left(v^{*}\right)\right|$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark. Both this proof and the theorem fail if we allow $u_{1}$ to vanish in $(0,1)$. It is readily checked that $U_{2}=\operatorname{span}\left\{(x-1 / 2)^{2},(x-1 / 2)_{+}\right\}$is a unicity space.

Remark. The proofs of the negative results considered in the introduction, due to DeVore, Pinkus, and Strauss, all used quadrature formulae. If there exist $m$ distinct points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ in $[0,1]$, and strictly positive numbers $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ with $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, for which

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} u\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for all $u \in U_{n}$, then non-uniqueness may be proven as follows. Let $u^{*} \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ be such that $u^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, m$. Such a $u^{*}$ exists since $m \leq n-1$. Furthermore from the quadrature formula

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0 .
$$

As above, assume $u \leq u_{+}^{*}, u \in U_{n}$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} u\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} u_{i}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=0 .
$$

This implies the non-uniqueness. As was pointed out to us by G. Jameson, if we assume that there exists a $u \in U_{n}$ for which $u(x)>0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$, then by convexity-type arguments there exists a quadrature formula of the above form with $m$ points, $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. We may therefore apply the above quadrature formula argument if $U_{n}$, $n \geq 2$, contains a strictly positive function on $[0,1]$.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. Our proof of Theorem 2 very much depends upon the following proposition which was proved in Pinkus [4]. The proof given therein is a functional analytic proof. We here reprove the result by an "elementary" and more constructive method.

Proposition 3.1. Let $V_{m}$ be an $m$-dimensional $(m<\infty)$ subspace of $C[0,1]$ with the property that there does not exist $a v \in V_{m} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $v(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Then there exists a $w \in W$ for which

$$
\int_{0}^{1} v(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

for all $v \in V_{m}$.
We prove the proposition via a series of lemmas.
Lemma 3.2. For $V_{m}$ as above, there exist $k$ points $(k<\infty),\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ such that if $v \in V_{m}$ and $v\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, k$, then $v \equiv 0$.

Proof. Follows from a compactness argument.
Let $V_{m}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$, and set $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}=\left(v_{i}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, v_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \in R^{k}, i=1, \ldots, m$. $(\cdot, \cdot)$ will denote the usual vector inner product.

Lemma 3.3. Let $V_{m}$ be as in the statement of the proposition, and let $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then there exists $a \boldsymbol{w}^{J} \in R^{k},\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{J}\right)_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, k$, such that $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}^{J}\right)>0$ for $i \in J$, and $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}^{J}\right)<0$, for $i \notin J$.

Proof. Let $V$ denote the $m \times k$ matrix $V=\left(v_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)_{i=1, j=1}^{m}$. From Lemma 3.2 there does not exist a vector $\boldsymbol{a} \in R^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ for which $\boldsymbol{a} V \geq \mathbf{0}$. This also implies that rank $V=m \leq k$.

Let $\boldsymbol{e}^{i}$ denote the $i$ th unit vector in $R^{k}$. Set $A=\left\{\boldsymbol{a} V: \boldsymbol{a} \in R^{m}\right\}$ and $B=$ $\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} e^{i}: \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}=1\right\}$. The sets $A$ and $B$ are closed convex subsets of $R^{k}$. Furthermore, by assumption, $A \cap B=\phi$. Thus there exists a strictly separating hyperplane, i.e., a $c \in R^{k}, c_{0} \in R$ for which

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(\boldsymbol{a} V, \boldsymbol{c})<c_{0}, & \text { all } & \boldsymbol{a} \in R^{m} \\
(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{c})>c_{0}, & \text { all } & \boldsymbol{x} \in B \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

From (3.1) it follows that $V \boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{0}$, and $c_{0}>0$. Thus from (3.2) we also obtain $(\boldsymbol{c})_{j}>$ $0, j=1, \ldots, k$.

For given $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists, since rank $V=m \leq k$, a vector $\boldsymbol{b}^{j} \in R^{k}$ for which $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{b}^{J}\right)>0$ for $i \in J$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{b}^{J}\right)<0$ for $i \notin J$. Set $\boldsymbol{w}^{J}=\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{b}^{J}$, where $\epsilon>0$ is sufficiently small so that $\left(\boldsymbol{c}+\epsilon \boldsymbol{b}^{j}\right)_{j}>0, j=1, \ldots, k$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Let $V_{m}$ be as in the statement of the proposition. Let $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then there exists $a w^{J} \in W$ for which

$$
\int_{0}^{1} v_{i}(x) w^{J}(x) \mathrm{d} x= \begin{cases}>0, & i \in J \\ <0, & i \in J\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Simply smooth the atomic measures corresponding to the vectors $\boldsymbol{w}^{J}$ of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. For each $J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$ let $w^{J} \in W$ be as given in Lemm 3.4. Set $c_{i}^{J}=\int_{0}^{1} v_{i}(x) w^{J}(x) \mathrm{d} x, i=1, \ldots, m$, and $\boldsymbol{c}^{J}=\left(c_{1}^{J}, \ldots, c_{m}^{J}\right)$. Let $C$ denote the convex hull of the $\left\{\boldsymbol{c}^{J}: J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}\right.$. Each quadrant of $R^{m}$ contains a vector of $C$ in its interior. Therefore $\mathbf{0} \in C$. Thus there exists a convex combination $w$ of the $w^{J}$ for which $\int_{0}^{1} v_{i}(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x=0, i=1, \ldots, m$. By construction $w \in W$.

Remark. This proof actually shows that given any dense linear subset of $C[0,1]$ there exists a $w \in W$, which is also in this dense linear subset, and which satisfies the conditions of the proposition. Thus, for example, $w$ may be taken to be a polynomial.

The following proposition is used in the proof of Theorem 2.
Proposition 3.5. Assume that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ for every $w \in W$. Given any $n-1$ distinct points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, there exists a non-negative $u \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for which $u\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the number of points. We prove that given any $k$ distinct points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exists a non-negative $u \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for which $u\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, k$. This statement for $k=0$ simply says that $U_{n}$ contains a non-negative, non-trivial function. If this is not the case, then by Proposition 3.1 there exists a $w \in W$ for which $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$ for all $u \in U_{n}$. From the form (1.4), this immediately implies that $U_{n}$ is not a unicity space, contradicting our hypothesis. Thus $U_{n}$ contains a non-negative non-trivial function.

We now use induction. Assume the result is valid for $k-1,0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ by any $k$ distinct points and assume that there does not exist a non-negative $u \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for which $u\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, k$. By the induction hypothesis there exist non-negative $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k} \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ which satisfy $u_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=0, i \neq j ; i, j=1, \ldots, k$. By assumption $u_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Thus we can assume that $u_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, k$.

Set

$$
M=\left\{u: u \in U_{n}, u\left(x_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, k\right\} .
$$

$M$ is a subspace of $U_{n}$, and since $k \leq n-1, \operatorname{dim} M \geq n-k>0$. Furthermore the $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ are linearly independent and not in $M$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} M=n-k$. By assumption $M$ does not contain a non-negative non-trivial function. From Proposition 3.1 there exists a $w \in W$ for which $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x=0$ for all $u \in M$. Let $u^{*} \in M \backslash\{0\}$, and set $u_{+}^{*}(x)=\max \left\{u^{*}(x), 0\right\}$. Then $u_{+}^{*} \in C[0,1]$ and $u_{+}^{*} \not \equiv 0$. We claim that if $u \in U_{n}$ satisfies $u \leq u_{+}^{*}$, then $\int_{0}^{1} u(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq 0$. If this is true, then 0 and $u^{*}$ are two one-sided best $L^{1}(w)$ approximations to $u_{+}^{*}$, contradicting the unicity assumption of the proposition.

Let $u \in U_{n}, u \leq u_{+}^{*}$. Then $u=\bar{u}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} u\left(x_{i}\right) u_{i}$, where $\bar{u} \in M$. Since $u_{+}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$, it follows that $u\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 0, i=1, \ldots, k$. Thus

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{i=1}^{k} u\left(x_{i}\right) \int_{0}^{1} u_{i}(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq 0 .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. If $U_{n}$ has a basis of functions which satisfy conditions (1) and (2), then it easily follows that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ for every $w \in W$. We therefore assume that $U_{n}$ is a unicity space for $L^{1}(w)$ for every $w \in W$ and construct a basis of functions which satisfy (1) and (2).

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be any $n$ distinct points for which $u\left(y_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n, u \in U_{n}$, implies $u \equiv 0$. By Proposition 3.5 there exist non-negative $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $u_{i}\left(y_{j}\right)=0, i \neq j ; i, j=1, \ldots, n$. If $u_{i}\left(y_{i}\right)=0$, then $u_{i} \equiv 0$. We may therefore assume that $u_{i}\left(y_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, n$. The $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ form a basis of functions for $U_{n}$ which satisfy (1). We claim that they also satisfy (2).

Assume that there exists a $y \in[0,1]$ and $j, k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, j \neq k$, such that $u_{j}(y), u_{k}(y)>0$. Obviously $y \notin\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$. From Proposition 3.5 there exists a non-negative $u^{*} \in U_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ for which $u^{*}(y)=0$ and $u^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n$; $i \neq j, k$. Now $u^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^{*}\left(y_{i}\right) u_{i}$. Since $u^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n ; i \neq j, k$, we have $u^{*}=u^{*}\left(y_{j}\right) u_{j}+u^{*}\left(y_{k}\right) u_{k}$. However $u^{*} \geq 0, u_{j}(y), u_{k}(y)>0$, and
$0=u^{*}(y)=u^{*}\left(y_{j}\right) u_{j}(y)+u^{*}\left(y_{k}\right) u_{k}(y)$. Thus $u^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)=u^{*}\left(y_{k}\right)=0$. Therefore $u^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n$ which implies that $u^{*}=0$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark. Theorem 2 is also valid if $U_{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace of $C(B)$, where $B$ is any compact Hausdorff space.
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